“Missing links” in borderline personality disorder: loss of neural synchrony relates to lack of emotion regulation and impulse control
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Introduction

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is characterized by a pervasive pattern of instability in interpersonal relationships, self-image and affect, together with marked impulsivity. It has a debilitating impact on the individual, leading to suicidal and parasuicidal behaviours, severe emotional disturbances and admission to hospital.1 Up to 70% of patients with BPD have experienced early trauma, including chronic childhood sexual and physical abuse, which acts as a major vulnerability factor for this disorder.2,3

Although BPD has been described comprehensively in the...
psychoanalytical literature, it has received less attention with regard to cognitive behavioural approaches. Given its complex profile and lack of obvious structural brain changes, BPD presents a particular challenge for the emerging focus on integrating psychiatry and neuroscience and the corresponding identification of links between behaviour and brain function. In terms of behaviour, the clinical symptoms of BPD reflect a core breakdown of affective regulation and impulse control and cognitive distortion.

Prefrontal brain systems have received the most attention as the likely site of affect dysregulation and associated interpersonal disturbances in BPD. Drawing on Hughlings Jackson’s theory of mind, Meares et al proposed an integrative model of BPD, in which the experience of trauma may cause subtle arrests in the development of prefrontal function, which produce a breakdown in the regulation of affect and interpersonal functions. The prefrontal cortex (particularly the medial portion) provides the top-down feedback necessary for intention and motivated behaviour by generating multiple options about the outcomes of particular choices and the fine-tuning required for the subtlety of more abstract or “secondary” emotional states. Consistent with this view, lesions of the mesial prefrontal cortex (MPFC) in childhood have been found to impair the regulation and interpretation of emotion necessary for the “higher level” operations of empathy. [AU: Is “pro-social” rather than “social” OK?] behaviour and interpersonal function in adulthood. The peak period of maturation in both the prefrontal cortex and behavioural regulation is over childhood and adolescence, when the abusive events related to BPD also typically occur. Indeed, adults with BPD have been found to show abnormal MPFC-generated neural activity, which is more characteristic of younger individuals. BPD patients also show disturbances in the resting metabolism of frontal networks.

In a complementary conceptualization of BPD, Posner et al focused on the role of the prefrontal cortex (particularly the anterior cingulate of the MPFC) in executive attention. Using cognitive testing, patients with BPD were found to have an impairment in executive control, which was associated with high levels of negative emotionality. The link between prefrontal control (or regulation) and negative emotion may reflect the strong structural and functional connections between the MPFC and the limbic amygdala, which has been implicated in negative emotion processing and arousal. Related evidence for amygdala hyperfunction in BPD is consistent with a lack of top-down prefrontal regulation.

It is important to note that these previous studies of prefrontal function in BPD have revealed associations between prefrontal impairments and emotional disturbances in BPD using cognitive tasks that are not designed to elicit emotional responses. The findings, therefore, add weight to the view that breakdowns in higher-level integration and regulation of information in BPD may have emotion-related consequences. Another line of research suggests that an additional deficit in BPD may involve lack of integration within posterior parietal networks. Parietal abnormalities in BPD have been revealed using functional neuroimaging. Neuropsychological studies have also reported subtle visuospatial perception and learning deficits in BPD, associated with the parietal lobe and reflecting an inability to distinguish relevant from extraneous information. Similarly, in electrophysiology studies, patients with BPD have been found to show abnormally slowed and reduced responses over the parietal cortex in a task requiring discrimination of task-relevant from irrelevant information. Abnormalities were observed for the N200 and P300 components elicited by this discrimination. Parietal-dependent deficits in BPD have been related to the presence of behavioural dyscontrol, suggesting that parietal disturbances may contribute to symptoms of cognitive disturbance and impulsivity (rather than affect regulation).

In this study, we examined the view that the core neural basis of BPD may be a breakdown in the effective functional connectivity of cortical networks, but that different symptoms may be produced by lack of connectivity in frontal as opposed to posterior cortical networks. We examined high temporal resolution functional connectivity occurring at the millisecond timescale of cognition. Connectivity was elicited in response to an oddball task, which requires a selective response to salient stimuli (infrequent high-pitched tones presented among frequently occurring low-pitched tones).

Two new issues in relation to BPD were examined in the study. We employed a neurophysiological measure (40-Hz gamma phase synchrony) that examines functional brain connections and disconnections on a rapid timescale. Second, we examined connectivity (or synchrony) within posterior as well as frontal brain regions, in regard to the core symptoms of BPD. Whereas previous studies have investigated the relation between BPD symptoms and neurophysiological techniques (such as event-related potentials [ERPs]), the gamma measure of synchronous brain activity has not been used.

Gamma phase synchrony refers to activity within the high-frequency gamma electroencephalography (EEG) band (cycling at 40 times per second), which occurs synchronously and in phase across multiple brain sites. It was first demonstrated using depth recording in animals that synchronization, rather than a simple increase in firing rate, is the critical factor in achieving a coherent perception of salient stimuli and the selection of appropriate responses. We have developed a mathematical method for extracting and analyzing the synchronicity of gamma activity across multiple sites using scalp-recorded EEG in human subjects. Task-relevant stimuli in the oddball task have been found to elicit 2 peaks of gamma synchronization: an early “gamma 1” peak (occurring around stimulus onset) and a late “gamma 2” peak (250–500 ms post stimulus). Previous studies of clinical groups, such as subjects with schizophrenia, have highlighted the utility of gamma phase synchrony in differentiating specific disturbances in functional connectivity. The late peak in gamma synchrony has been found to be related to both behavioural performance and changes in phasic arousal, in both patient and healthy comparison groups. Moreover, patients with BPD have been found to show reduced skin conductance arousal. For these reasons, we recorded skin conductance [AU: Is “arousal” correct here?] responses (SCRs) and behavioural data (accuracy and reac-
tion time [RT]) simultaneously with gamma synchrony data to address the possible contribution of variation in performance and arousal to differences between BPD and healthy controls. It was predicted that, relative to matched healthy control subjects, patients with BPD would show a lack of frontal and posterior integration, indexed by gamma synchrony. We expected frontal and parietal disconnections to distinguish core symptoms of BPD, but to be independent variations in performance or arousal.

**Methods**

Fifteen patients with a diagnosis of borderline personality disorder (4 males, 11 females; mean age 28.8 yr) were recruited from the Borderline Personality Disorder Treatment program at Westmead Hospital, [AU: OK to spell out ICD-10 and add reference to reference list as follows? World Health Organization. International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems. 10th rev. Geneva: WHO; 1992.] criteria by 2 independent psychiatrists, blind to the purpose of the study. All subjects with BPD were unmedicated at the time of testing and were [AU: OK to add “not”? receiving any other form of treatment. They had in each case entered a psychotherapy program at Westmead Hospital but participated in this study before starting psychotherapeutic treatment. Psychotherapy typically commenced soon after testing. Control subjects were screened for history of psychiatric illness (themselves or first-degree relative) and treatment with psychiatric medication using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and the Westmead Hospital Clinical Information Base questionnaire (WHCIB). Exclusion criteria for subjects with BPD and healthy subjects were recent history of substance abuse, significant head injury, epilepsy, other neurological abnormalities and developmental disability (assessed using Section M from the CIDI and the WHCIB). Subjects in both groups were within the normal range for intelligence (90–110) as assessed by the NART (New Adult Reading Test). [AU: Please could you add a reference to NART?]

The Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines (DIB-R) [AU: OK to cite Zanarini et al rather than Symond et al?] was used by the BPD treatment program clinicians to quantify the core features of this disorder: affective disturbance (or dysregulation), impulsive behaviour, relationship disturbance and cognitive disturbance. Patients with BPD had a mean score of 7.9 (standard deviation [SD] 2.0) on this scale, reflecting a high level of [AU: OK to change from “symptomatology” to “symptoms”?]. All subjects were asked to refrain from smoking or drink-

ing caffeinated beverages for at least 2 hours before the testing. [AU: OK to cut “Given the substance use screening criterion,”?] there was no difference between groups with regard to nicotine dependence. After a complete description of the study was provided to all subjects, written, informed consent was obtained in accordance with the National Health and Medical Research Council ethical guidelines. Ethics approval was provided by the Western Sydney Area Health Service Human Research Ethics Committee.

**Behavioural task**

We used a conventional auditory oddball paradigm with 40 target (1500 Hz) and 225 background (1000 Hz) tones. Target tones represented 15% of the total stimuli and background tones, 85%. The tones were presented binaurally through headphones for 50 ms, with a 10-ms rise and fall time at 80 db above the hearing threshold, determined individually before the presentation of the oddball stimuli. The interstimulus interval was 1.3 s. All tones were presented pseudorandomly with the constraint that no successive target tones were presented. Testing was undertaken in a sound- and light-attenuated room. Subjects were instructed to ignore background tones and to press a reaction time button with the middle finger of both hands (using the middle finger of each hand to counterbalance motor effects) in response to a target tone. In instructions to subjects, [AU: OK to add “the importance of”? speed and accuracy were emphasized equally. To limit EOG (electro-oculography) contamination, subjects were instructed to look at a small dot on a computer monitor placed 60 cm away from the [AU: OK to add “subject’s”?] face during the auditory oddball task.

**Gamma data acquisition**

EEG activity was recorded from 19 scalp electrode sites according to the [AU: OK to change from “10–20” to “International 10–20 EEG system”?] using an electrode cap. [AU: Please would you explain what is meant by “Linked earlobes served as reference.”? Horizontal eye movement potentials were recorded using 2 electrodes, placed 1 cm lateral to the outer canthus of each eye. Vertical eye movement potentials were recorded using 2 electrodes placed on the middle of the supraorbital and infraorbital regions of the left eye. All electrode impedances were less than or equal to 5 kΩ. All potentials were amplified 200 times and acquired on a DC system at a sampling rate of 250 Hz. EOG was corrected offline using the procedure described by Gratton et al. Only correctly identified target epochs for which a pressed-button response was obtained within 1 s of the target tone were analyzed. All subjects responded accurately to at least 35 target tones.

**Behavioural data acquisition**

Accuracy and RT to each target stimulus were recorded via a pressed button. Subjects were instructed to identify targets as quickly and as accurately as possible. They were asked to...
press 2 buttons simultaneously with the thumbs of the left and right hand to counterbalance potential motor effects.

[AU: The information in the preceding 2 sentences is very similar to that in the paragraph under the heading “Behavioural task.” Do any changes need to be made?] RT was recorded [AU: OK change from “to” to “as”?] the first registered pressing of the button.

**SCR data acquisition**

Skin conductance was recorded via a pair of silver-silver chloride electrodes with [AU: OK to change from “0.05 M” to “0.05 mol/L” in accordance with our house style?] sodium chloride gel placed on the digits [AU: OK to change from “II” to “2”?] and [AU: OK to change from “III” to “3”?] of the left (nondominant) hand. [AU: Were all participants right-handed?] The electrode pairs were supplied by a constant voltage, and the current change representing conductance was recorded with the use of a DC amplifier.

**Data reduction**

**Gamma activity**

Gamma activity was examined for the correctly identified target stimuli. Narrow gamma-band signals (37–41 Hz) were extracted, based on evidence that key aspects of information processing during the oddball paradigm are contained within this frequency range. Data were [AU: Is “detrended” correct? Or could this be expressed another way?] by subtracting the line of best fit over 512 samples to remove possible [AU: Is “EMG” correct here?] contaminants. For each single trial epoch (with a sample defined by ~500 [AU: OK to add “ms”?] before stimulus to 700 ms post stimulus), from each recording site, a 64-sample Welch window was then moved along sample by sample, and a fast Fourier transform (FFT) was used to compute the phase of the gamma frequency component at each sample position. This procedure produced a time series of gamma-phase activity from each electrode site.

Gamma synchrony was calculated by means of circular variance using the phase of the given electrode sites. This yielded a singular estimate of the degree of phase locking at each time point for each recording site. The single-trial waveforms were then averaged over correct target–response trials. Within the averaged gamma synchrony responses, phase locking peaked within 2 latency windows: an early (gamma 1) synchrony peak at ~150 ms to 150 ms, [AU: OK to change from “and” to “which was”?] maximal around stimulus onset, and a later (gamma 2) synchrony peak at 250–500 ms. Within these windows, the peak amplitude and latency for gamma 1 and gamma 2 was scored for global synchrony (across all 19 sites) and for frontal (Fp1/2, F3/4, F7/8 and Fz) and posterior (T5/6, P3/4, Pz and O1/2) regions. To determine the contribution of laterality, we also examined synchrony for the left (Fp1, F3, F7, T3, T5, C3, P3 and O1) and right (Fp2, F4, F8, T4, T6, C4, P4 and O2) hemispheres. The definition of these regions according to multiple sites (rather than pairs) provides spatial contiguity, such that only robust and reliable variations in topographical synchrony are represented in these values.

**RT data** [AU: Or “Behavioural data” to be consistent with heading on page 37]

Accuracy was indexed by the number of target stimuli correctly identified, and RT was measured in milliseconds for each pressing of the button [AU: OK to add “in response”?] to target stimuli.

**SCR data**

The presence of phasic SCR was determined by a sigmoid-exponent mathematical model designed for short interstimulus interval paradigms. This model allows for overlapping composite signals to be [AU: OK to change from “decomposed” to “broken down”?] into phasic SCR and tonic [AU: Should “SCL” be “SCR”?] components. SCRs were evaluated as an unambiguous increase (> 0.05 µS)[AU: What is “µS”?] in conductance with respect to each pre-target stimulus baseline. All epochs containing an SCR within 1–3 s after each of the 40 target tones in each subject were evaluated.

**Statistical analysis**

[AU: OK to cut “Statistical analyses were undertaken with SPSS v12.01.” because our house style is not to cite well-known software packages] Peak amplitude and latency for gamma 1 and gamma 2 (for global, frontal and posterior regions, and left and right hemispheres) were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the between-subjects variable of group (BPD v. healthy controls). Given evidence that gamma 1 and 2 reflect distinct topographical changes, a simple Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was not considered appropriate. In light of these factors, previous gamma studies comparing clinical and control groups have relied on an uncorrected α of 0.05. However, in this study, we used a nonparametric permutation model to verify the significant differences revealed by ANOVA. In this model, a random permutation of the data from all subjects determines the probability that differences between groups were obtained purely by chance.

RT and SCR indices were first analyzed using independent group t tests. Analyses of covariance were then conducted to ascertain the degree of variance in between-groups gamma synchrony analyses accounted for by behavioural (accuracy, RT) and SCR onset indices.

Pearson correlation analyses were used to examine the relations between gamma, SCR and behavioural variables, which show a significant difference between groups, and symptom scores on the DIB-R [AU: OK to cut “dimensions”?] given the exploratory nature of these analyses, we used a p value of 0.05, and results were interpreted with ap-
appropriate caution. However, selection of the data was undertaken according to the reliance on variables that were significant in the initial between-group comparisons.

Results

Gamma data

No significant differences between BPD and control groups were found for global synchrony, for either gamma 1 ($F_{1,28} = 0.07, p = 0.78$) or gamma 2 ($F_{1,28} = 0.001, p = 0.98$).

With regard to regional synchrony, the BPD group showed a significant delay in posterior gamma 1 synchrony compared with healthy controls ($F_{1,28} = 6.094, p < 0.05$). The permutation model confirmed this difference, showing that the probability of having incorrectly rejected the null hypothesis was 0.016.

The group difference in posterior synchrony is shown in Figure 1.

The magnitude of gamma 2 synchrony was also found to be significantly reduced in the right hemisphere for BPD compared with healthy control subjects ($F_{1,28} = 6.234, p < 0.05$). This difference was also confirmed by the permutation model, which showed that the probability of having incorrectly rejected the null hypothesis was 0.021. This difference is shown in Figure 2.

Contrary to expectations there were no group differences in frontal synchrony for gamma 1 or gamma 2.

Behavioural data

The mean accuracy for subjects with BPD was 39.9 (SD 0.5) and for control subjects was 39.9 (SD 0.4). There was no difference in group accuracy.

Mean RT for BPD was 406 (SD 120) ms and for controls, 313 (SD 50) ms. Subjects with BPD had significantly delayed RT compared with controls ($t_{1,28} = 2.77, p < 0.01$).

SCR data

Mean SCR amplitude for subjects with BPD was 1.2 (SD 0.04) and for controls was 1.3 (SD 0.03). The no significant differences in SCR amplitude ($t_{1,28} = 0.594, p = 0.52$).

Mean SCR onset for patients with BPD was 1785 (SD 25) ms and for healthy controls, 1595 (SD 22) ms. Subjects with BPD were found to have a significantly delayed SCR onset compared with controls ($t_{1,28} = 2.18, p < 0.05$).

Gamma, behavioural and SCR data relations

For gamma 1, neither controls nor patients with BPD showed a correlation between posterior synchrony and RT. However, patients with BPD showed a significant and positive correlation between the latency of posterior gamma 1 synchrony and the latency of SCR onset (BPD: $r_s = 0.543, p = 0.039$), which was not present in controls ($r_s = 0.149, p = 0.62$). However, the strength of the significant correlation in patients with BPD was not significantly greater than the strength of the nonsignificant correlation in controls ($p = 0.27$).

For gamma 2, healthy controls showed a trend toward a positive association between right hemisphere gamma 2 synchrony and RT (controls: $r_{14} = 0.481, p = 0.07$; BPD: $r_{14} = -0.18, p = 0.051$), which was absent in patients with BPD. There was also a positive association between right hemisphere gamma 2 and the latency of SCR onset for healthy controls ($r_{14} = 0.612, p = 0.015$), while there was a negative correlation between these variables for BPD patients ($r_{14} = -0.558, p = 0.030$). In this case, the positive associations present in control subjects differed from the negative correlations observed in BPD, at trend level for gamma 2 and RT ($p = 0.07$) and significantly for gamma 2 and SCR [AU: Change to “SCR” OK?] onset ($p < 0.001$).

Analysis of covariance showed that significant group differences in RT and SCR onset did not account for the significant difference between BPD and control groups in gamma 1 and 2 synchrony. RT did not covary linearly with differences in either posterior gamma 1 latency ($F_{1,28} = 0.570, p = 0.46$) or the magnitude of right hemisphere gamma 2 synchrony ($F_{1,28} = 0.003, p = 0.95$). Similarly, the latency of SCR onset was not a significant linear covariate for posterior gamma 1 ($F_{1,28} = 3.391, p = 0.77$) or right hemisphere gamma 2 ($F_{1,28} = 0.011, p = 0.98$) group differences.

Gamma and symptom relations

We found a significant positive correlation between the latency of posterior gamma 1 synchrony and cognitive disturbances in patients with BPD ($r_{14} = 0.521, p = 0.048$), suggesting that slower posterior gamma 1 is associated with a more pronounced cognitive distortion. By contrast, the magnitude of right hemisphere gamma 2 synchrony was significantly negatively related to impulsivity ($r_{14} = -0.532, p = 0.045$), indicating a greater reduction in magnitude with more pronounced loss of impulse control. There was also a weak trend toward an association between the delay in SCR onset and impulsivity ($r_{14} = -0.454, p = 0.09$), reflecting slower latency with less severe loss of impulse control. The direction of this association suggests that the slowing of SCR orienting reflects an attempt to gain control of impulses. Although we found no significant associations between affect dysregulation and gamma disturbances, these null findings may be the result of a lack of intersubject variation (i.e., subjects showed a consistently high level of affect dysregulation, equivalent to a “ceiling effect”).

Discussion

In this study, we used a high temporal resolution index, 40-Hz gamma phase synchrony, to identify breakdowns in neural synchronization in unmedicated patients with BPD, and relations between these breakdowns and core BPD symptoms. Behavioural and skin conductance data were recorded concurrently to determine whether performance or
arousal variation contribute to the loss of synchrony in BPD. In response to task-relevant target stimuli in an oddball task, patients with BPD exhibited a delay in posterior gamma synchrony that occurred around stimulus onset and a subsequent reduction in right hemisphere gamma synchrony occurring 250–500 ms post stimulus. Delayed posterior synchrony was related to cognitive symptoms, while reduced right hemisphere synchrony was associated with impulsivity. RT and the onset of SCRs to targets were also delayed in patients with BPD, but these delays did not account for the group differences in synchrony.

The early peak in gamma synchrony (gamma 1), occurring around stimulus onset, has been interpreted as an index of the preparatory processing and initial integration of sensory stimulation, given that the oddball task has a fixed inter-stimulus interval. Studies of human attentional processing suggest that posterior attentional networks are engaged in the initial orienting to salient sensory input. The delay in posterior gamma 1 in BPD suggests that these patients may have an impairment in the engagement of these posterior attentional networks and a consequent delay in the integration of sensory features. This proposal is consistent with functional neuroimaging evidence for posterior parietal deficits in BPD and cognitive tests that reveal parietal-dependent impairments in extracting relevant from extraneous information. The association of delayed posterior synchrony with cognitive distortions in BPD suggests that a lack of initial sensory integration may be a distinct deficit underlying difficulties with higher-order cognition in this disorder. Nevertheless, confirmation of this association in independent samples is warranted, given the marginally significant nature of the finding.

Gamma 2 on the other hand, a late peak in gamma synchrony occurring around 250–500 ms post stimulus, corresponds to the time frame of the P300 ERP component and is thought to provide an index of contextual integration involved in the selection of task-relevant from task-irrelevant information. Our observation that gamma 2 synchrony was significantly decreased in patients with BPD may reflect a breakdown in context evaluation in this group, consistent with findings of an abnormal P300 and possibly arising from the initial delay in sensory discrimination. The right hemisphere locus of the gamma 2 reduction is consistent with the laterality of the posterior orienting network. The association between the loss of right gamma synchrony and impulsivity in patients with BPD is also consistent with the role of the right hemisphere in emotion processing, although this association again requires verification in independent samples. Indeed, it has been proposed that early psychological trauma affects the development of the right brain, leading to deficits in the ability to modulate responses to stress. In patients with BPD with greater variation in affective dysregulation, we would hypothesize a further association between these symptoms and right hemisphere breakdowns in gamma integration.

Our observation that patients with BPD also had a delay in both behavioural responses (RT) and SCR onset is consistent with a breakdown in orienting to salient (target) stimuli. Moreover, patients with BPD had an abnormal pattern of relations between synchrony and RT and SCR onset, consistent with our finding that RT and SCR disturbances did not covary in a linear manner with group differences in gamma synchrony. Whereas healthy subjects showed the positive relation between late gamma synchrony and both RT and SCR onset expected from previous evidence, patients with BPD had a dissociation between late gamma synchrony and performance, and a reverse relation between late synchrony and SCR onset in the right hemisphere. Although selective responding is normally associated with late synchrony, delayed RT in patients with BPD was instead related to the delay in early posterior synchrony. These findings are consistent with a dysregulation in the central, autonomic and behavioural responses to task-relevant information in BPD.

Taken together, the disturbances in posterior and right hemisphere gamma synchrony point to a breakdown in dynamic integration of neural systems for task-relevant information, which may produce the associated loss of cognitive function and impulse control. Previous studies have focused on frontal brain networks as a primary site of disturbance underlying symptoms of BPD. Although we did not reveal localized frontal disturbances in this study, it remains possible that posterior and right-sided disturbances in synchrony involve a breakdown in the integration of these networks with frontal executive systems. Indeed, it has been proposed that the failure to integrate parietal with other cortical networks represents a distinct deficit in BPD, and our findings suggest this deficit may underlie symptoms of impulsivity in particular. This proposal would accord with the concept of multiple attention systems, in which effective processing of salient stimuli relies on the cooperation of posterior and frontal systems. It is not likely that the oddball task has simply been unable to reveal frontal deficits, given that schizophrenia has been associated with a frontal loss of gamma synchrony on this task, and even normal aging shows frontal changes in synchrony. Of course, it would nonetheless be valuable to investigate the generality of gamma synchrony disturbances to BPD in a different behavioural task.

Several methodological issues might be considered in the interpretation of the results of this study. A methodological strength was the inclusion of unmedicated subjects with BPD, so that results were not confounded by the effects of medication. Whereas unmedicated status might typically produce a less unwell sample, the symptom ratings of subjects in this study would suggest that they are in fact at the high end of clinical severity. With regard to the oddball task, the use of fixed interstimulus interval may have played some role in producing such an early gamma 1 response, reflecting the contribution of stimulus anticipation. However, this factor is unlikely to account for delayed gamma 1 responses in BPD given the interstimulus interval was standardized across all subjects.

The findings of this study provide evidence that BPD is characterized by specific disturbances in neural synchrony, related to core symptoms of cognitive impairment and impulsivity. These findings are consistent with the description of the borderline syndrome as a fragmentation of the self, a
lack of wholeness and an inability to integrate the positive and negative aspects of the self and the external world, which contribute to the distress experienced by affected individuals. To further examine the regional localization of integrative disturbances in BPD, future studies might also examine gamma phase synchrony using tasks designed to emphasize frontal executive and regulation processes. Functional neuroimaging, with analysis of functional connectivity, would also provide important convergent information on the breakdown of integrative processing in BPD across both cortical and subcortical networks.
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Fig. 1: Patients with borderline personality disorder had a significant delay in early gamma synchrony (peaking around stimulus onset, indicated by shading) in the posterior region, relative to healthy control subjects. [AU: What is the circular variance unit?]

Fig. 2: Patients with borderline personality disorder had a significant reduction in late gamma synchrony (peaking 250–500 ms post stimulus, indicated by shading) in the right hemisphere, relative to healthy control subjects.